Edward Humphrys, Chair Wright-Patterson, AFB

Doug Bolton Publisher, Business News

Charles Bowman
Charta Consulting

Randy Daniels Grants Coordinator, Sankota Corp.

Dr. Ronald Fetzer Fetzer Enterprises

Ann Filemyr Prof., Antioch College

Kelly E. Gillis CPA, Battelle & Battelle

Sen. Merle Grace Kearns Ohio Senate

Kay Koeninger Dayton Visual Arts Center

Nancy Nash Lexis-Nexis

Michael Robinson Prof., McGregor School of Antioch University

Michael L. Sampson
Natl. Afro-American History
Museum

Glenn H. Watts Vice Chancellor, Antioch University

Alexander J. Williams Chairman, WJDR Enterprises August 2, 1999

Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW Washington, DC 20554 Re: MM Docket #99-25

To the Commissioners:

We feel compelled to register our concerns with, and state our opposition to, the current Commission proposal on Low Power FM (LPFM). The issue is not about blocking additional voices from reaching the air. We, after all, represent diverse viewpoints and programming that is an alternative to commercial radio. The real issue is the fundamental flaws in this proposal:

- This is not a one station, one market, one owner policy. As presently written, one organization could own multiple LPFMs in multiple markets and service all of them with a single, satellite delivered signal. We do not believe this serves the objective of bringing community-oriented localism back to the nation's airwaves.
- There is no realistic plan to protect the signal integrity of existing stations. Instead, the Commission suggests it may relax or eliminate the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> adjacency protections which WYSO and other FM broadcasters currently enjoy. Under this proposal, our protected coverage area would be significantly scaled back, while the rest of our signal area would potentially face other, unforeseen, signal integrity problems.
- It is unclear if LPFM and IBOC digital FM transmission systems are compatible. It seems like a terrible legacy if the FCC takes steps now that would inhibit radio's ability to convert to digital in the near future.

Federal Communications Commission July 30, 1999 Page 2

• It is unlikely that this expansion can be managed to protect the public interest. The Commission does not address how it plans to cope with the oversight issue, but the Commission has previously acknowledged its difficulty in adequately overseeing the stations it currently licenses. How will it deal with all of these new stations?

The technical and structural flaws in this proposal threaten the ability of licensees to continue to serve their audiences. The proposal will be difficult to manage and may unduly complicate the conversion to digital broadcasting. Finally, there is no assurance that this proposal will open access for voices not often heard. We urge you to reject this proposal.

Sincerely,

The WYSO Resource Board

cc: Sen. Mike DeWine Sen. George Voinovich Rep. David Hobson